Overview

Introduction



Interlayer exchange coupling



Giant and tunneling magnetoresistance

Current-induced magnetization dynamics



Pure spin current

Magnetic molecules

Conclusions





Outline: Pure spin current



- What is a pure spin current?
- Generation and detection of pure spin currents in lateral spin-valves (LSV)
- Example: In-situ FIB fabrication of LSV
- Pure spin current induced magnetization switching





Pure spin currents



- transport spin momentum
- without (net) charge motion
- do not give rise to Oersted fields
- do not create resistive voltage drops
- do not produce Joule heating

⇒ Potential to significantly reduce power dissipation of (spin-)electronic devices



Generation and detection of pure spin currents

Non-local transport measurement in a "lateral spin valve"



M. Johnson and R. H. Silsbee, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55, 1790 (1985); F. J. Jedema *et al.*, Nature 410, 345 (2001)
Y. Ji *et al.*, Appl. Phys. Lett. 85, 6218 (2004); T. Yang *et al.*, Nat. Phys. 4, 851 (2008)





Pure spin currents in lateral spin-valves (LSV)



Spin accumulation at FM/NM interface \Rightarrow splitting of chemical potential $\mu_{\uparrow,\downarrow}$ \Rightarrow diffusion of spins due to $\nabla \mu_{\uparrow,\downarrow}$



Detection of spin accumulation with a FM probe located within the spin diffusion length: Parallel alignment: Antiparallel alignment: \Rightarrow Probe potential adjusts to μ_{\uparrow} \Rightarrow Probe potential

F. Casanova et al.; Phys. Rev. B 79, 184415 (2009)





First observation of pure spin currents

M. Johnson and R. H. Silsbee, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55, 1790 (1985)



F. J. Jedema *et al.*, Nature **410**, 345 (2001); Y. Ji *et al.*, Appl. Phys. Lett. **85**, 6218 (2004); F. Casanova *et al.*; Phys. Rev. B **79**, 184415 (2009)





Outline: Pure spin current



- What is a pure spin current?
- Generation and detection of pure spin currents

in lateral spin-valves (LSV)

- Example: In-situ FIB fabrication of LSV
- Pure spin current induced magnetization switching





In-situ focused ion-beam (FIB) fabrication of a LSV

In-situ SEM of lateral spin-valve with topmost FM layers



J. Mennig, D.E. Bürgler et al., J. Appl. Phys. 111, 07C504 (2012)





In-situ fabrication of lateral spin-valves

ex situ



• 50 nm Cu contacts covered by 2 nm Au grown by MBE through shadow mask



• Wire bonding to 4-probe sample holder



• Hole aperture to protect contact and contact pads

in situ



- Ar sputtering to remove Au cap layer
- Definition of magnet positions by FIB



JARA FIT

- FIB Structuring of the Cu (red) leads Deposition of Co (blue) layer by thermal evaporation
- Structuring of Co film to nanomagnets





SEM and SEMPA of an in-situ prepared LSV

Widths of FMs are 220 nm for FM1 and 280 nm for FM2



⇒ Both Co electrodes reveal a multi-domain state that clearly deviates from the single-domain behavior expected for the wire dimensions J. Mennig, D.E. Bürgler *et al.*, J. Appl. Phys. **111**, 07C504 (2012)





Reason for multi-domain formation

Narrow Co structures (220 and 280 nm) with different properties:







LSV with narrow FMs Co on Cu after FIB Co on Cu, no FIB Co on Cu after FIB Growth at 270 K Growth at 170 K Growth at 170 K \Rightarrow Larger grains \Rightarrow Smoother Cu \Rightarrow FIB-induced roughness of Cu substrate gives rise to formation of multi-domain state in the Co electrodes J. Mennig, D.E. Bürgler *et al.*, J. Appl. Phys. 111, 07C504 (2012)





Origin of FIB-induced roughness



- Both Co and Cu films are polycrystalline due to the amorphous SiO_x substrate
- FIB sputter yield depends on orientation of crystallites via channeling and atomic layer densities



⇒ FIB-induced roughness with a typical lateral size of about 250 nm J. Mennig, D.E. Bürgler *et al.*, J. Appl. Phys. **111**, 07C504 (2012)





SEM and SEMPA of LSV with wide FMs

Width of FMs (480 nm for FM1 and 350 nm for FM2) is larger than the typical lateral size of the FIB-induced roughness



⇒ Landau-like magnetization pattern in the left Co element
⇒ Almost single-domain state in the right Co element
J. Mennig, D.E. Bürgler *et al.*, J. Appl. Phys. **111**, 07C504 (2012)





AMR and spin signal of LSV with wide FMs



⇒ Switching fields of AMR and R_S are correlated (dashed lines) ⇒ R_S of up to 0.9 m Ω indicates clean interfaces J. Mennig, D.E. Bürgler *et al.*, J. Appl. Phys. **111**, 07C504 (2012)





Pure spin current induced magnetization switching

Injector and detector are Py nanomagnets (80×170 nm² and 75×170 nm²)



JARA|FIT



Overview

Introduction



Interlayer exchange coupling



Giant and tunneling magnetoresistance

Current-induced magnetization dynamics



Pure spin current



Magnetic molecules

Conclusions





Outline: Magnetic molecules



- Why magnetic molecules?
- Challenges of the interface between molecule and substrate/electrode
- Example: Nd phthalocyanine double-decker (NdPc₂) molecules on Cu(100)





Length scales in magnetism



JARA|FIT







Wind turbine Anti-theft device Magnetic recording Molecular magnetism 1 **Å** 1 m 1 nm 1 mm $1 \propto m$ macroscopic nanoscopic Single molecule magnets (SMM) Molecules with Magnetic moment Energy Thermal excitation Zero-field splitting \Rightarrow Anisotropy \Rightarrow Enhanced relaxation time Quantum number \Rightarrow Blocking temperature L. Bogani und W. Wernsdorfer, Nat. Mat. 7, 179 (2008)



Molecular spintronics

Combines molecular electronics and spintronics to exploit the rich diversity and functionality of molecules and the spin degree of freedom for novel nanoelectronic device concepts Example of spintronic functionality: SMM in ferromagnet/non-magnet junction Parallel alignment: $R_{\rm P}$ Charge transport: $R_{\rm P} < R_{\rm AP}$ Antiparallel alignment: R_{AP} \Rightarrow Spintronic functionality

L. Bogani und W. Wernsdorfer, Nat. Mat. 7, 179 (2008)





Realization of molecular spintronics

Molecules need to be supported

- How do molecules adsorb on surfaces?
- Do they decompose upon deposition?
- What is their adsorption geometry and site?

Adsorption properties on substrates

Molecules need to be electrically contacted

- Role of metal-molecule interaction?
- Impact of metal-molecule hybridization?
- Impact of metal surface on magnetic moment?
- Do the "magnetic" orbitals contribute to charge transport?

Electronic structure of absorbed/contacted molecules





Molecule-substrate interaction

Physisorption (weak bonding)

- van-der-Waals (dipole-dipole) forces
- \Rightarrow Little impact on electronic structure

Chemisorption (strong bonding)

IARA FIT

- Ionic and covalent bonding
- Charge transfer and hybridization
- \Rightarrow Strong impact on electronic structure
- \Rightarrow Formation of hybrid orbitals
- \Rightarrow May influence [1,2] or quench the molecular magnetic moment [3]

[1] A. Mugarza *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 155437 (2012) [2] C. Iacovita *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 116602 (2008) [3] J. Brede *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 047204 (2010)







Lanthanide double-decker phthalocyanines (LnPc₂)



 Intramolecular interaction between the Pc ligands and the central Ln ion is mainly of electrostatic nature

- Relative angle of 45° between the two Pc ligand
- Some LnPc₂, e.g. TbPc₂, DyPc₂, and HoPc₂ [1,2] are known to be SMMs with blocking temperatures of several tens of Kelvin
 - Accessing Tb 4f-states in TbPc₂ by STM is not possible [3]
 [1] Ishikawa *et al.*, J. Phys. Chem. B 108, 11265 (2004);

JARA|FIT

[2] F. Branzoli et al., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 131:4387 (2009); [3] Schwöbel et al., Nat. Commun. 3, 953 (2012)



"bis(phthalocyaninato)-neodymium(III)"

Neodymium double-decker phthalocyanine (NdPc₂)



• $NdPc_2$ is a promising SMM, but has not examined under this aspect





- Molecules sublimated at 850 K metal substrate held at 300 K
- STM in UHV and at 4 K; etched W tips
- Bias voltage modulation (30 mV, 2.7 kHz) for dI/dV-spectra and dI/dV-maps



Single-decker



2 types of molecules, each in 2 orientations: ⇒ Double-decker (NdPc₂) and single-decker (NdPc, Pc) ⇒ NdPc₂ molecules break up upon deposition









Single-decker



2 types of molecules, each in 2 orientations: ⇒ Double-decker (NdPc₂) and single-decker (NdPc, Pc) ⇒ NdPc₂ molecules break up upon deposition





tips

7 kHz)

Single-decker NdPc on Cu(100)



\Rightarrow rotated with respect to [001] axis by $\pm (28\pm 2)^{\circ}$

 \Rightarrow Agreement with theoretical prediction [1]: ±26° from [001] axis

[1] Lippel et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 171 (1989)





Double-decker NdPc₂ on Cu(100)



Upper ligand is imaged and its axes are rotated with respect to [001] axis by $\pm(71\pm2)^{\circ}$

Consider twist between ligand Pc: $\pm (26+45)^\circ = \pm 71^\circ$

⇒ Absorption position is determined by the binding of lower Pc to the substrate





NdPc₂ on Cu(100): Calculations



- $NdPc_2$ on 5 layer of Cu
- GGA+U calculations (U=2.2 eV)
- Including van-der-Waals forces

Optimized and relaxed structure:

- NdPc₂ center above Cu hollow site
- Two symmetric and degenerate positions

We calculate:

JARA|FIT

- Contours of constant DOS
- Local DOS versus V
- Maps of DOS at given V_{bias}

We measure:

- -> STM topography
- -> d*I*/d*V*-curves
- -> dI/dV-maps at V_{bias}





⇒ Direct detection of spin-polarized 4f-states

⇒ Lower Pc strongly hybridizes with substrate
 ⇒ Upper Pc keeps molecular-type states









JARA FIT

JÜLICH

Two atomic layers of Fe on W(110): Model system



Magnetic contrast (dI/dV)



JARA|FIT

Model system for magnetic surfaces

- 1st AL Fe is in-plane magnetized
- 2nd AL Fe is out-of-plane magnetized





Future experimental route



Requirements:

- Magnetic tip
- "Clean" surface
- Certain density of intact molecules
- Magnetic coupling of molecule to surface





The "History" of Spintronics

- 1986 Discovery of magnetic interlayer exchange coupling (Grünberg)
- 1988 Discovery of GMR (Grünberg, Fert)
- 1995 Realization of TMR at room temperature (Miyazaki, Moodera)
- 1996 Prediction of spin-transfer effects (Slonczewski, Berger)
- 1998 First commercial harddisks with GMR sensors (IBM)
- 2000 Experimental observation of spin-transfer effects (Cornell)
- 2001 Commercial harddisks with AFC media (IBM)
- 2004 Giant TMR across epitaxial MgO barriers (Parkin, Yuasa)
- 2006 Commercialization of MRAM based on TMR (Freescale)
- 2007 Demo: MRAM based on giant TMR and spin-transfer (Hitachi)

... short transfer times from basic research to applications in mass markets

... there is more to come: *e.g.* quantum information technology





Future of Spintronics

quantum information magnetic sensors innovative device concepts nonvolatile memory e.g. magnetologic (short term) Spin-dependent functionality nanomagnetism spin transport and coherence magneto- and spindynamics nanoferronics spin spin spin **GMR TMR** inject. Hall torque interfaces layers quantum wires interfaces quantum dots nanostructures Past Presence Degree of spin control

JARA|FIT



Future

processing

(long term vision)

Spintronics relies on manipulating and detecting magnetization states of nm-sized FM objects

Spin-transfer processes enable such functionalities:



Established: GMR and IEC in HDD



• Currently: Spin-transfer torque in MRAMs





Future: Pure spin currents in low-dissipation devices





JARA FIT

Future: Magnetic molecules in molecular transistors and in artificial neuronal magnetic networks





Thanks ...

• Sarah Fahrendorf, Julius Mennig, Saban Tirpanci,

Frank Matthes, Peter Grünberg, Claus M. Schneider (FZ Jülich)

• Ronald Lehndorff (now Sensitec Mainz Germany)

• Volker Sluka, Alina Deac (now Helmholtz-Zentrum Rossendorf)

• Zbigniew Celinski (Colorado Springs, USA)

- Sebastian Gliga, Attila Kákay (FZ Jülich)
- Riccardo Hertel (now CNRS Strasbourg, France)
- Claire Besson, Paul Kögerler (RWTH Aachen and FZ Jülich)
 - Nicolae Atodiresei, Vasile Caciuc, Stefan Blügel (FZ Jülich)



